Assessment Schedule - 2018

Mathematics and Statistics (Statistics): Apply probability concepts in solving problems (91585)

Evidence Statement

Q	Expected C	Coverage			Achievement (u)	Merit (r)	Excellence (t)	
ONE (a)(i)	Table create	ed from informat	Positiv	e health	Correct probability calculated.	Correct probability calculated.		
			Yes	No			AND	
	Website targeted	General population	13	33	46		Correct reasoning about events	
	at	Teenagers	8	16	24		not being	
			21	49	70		mutually exclusive.	
	claim" and 'population"	he events "a web 'a website is targ are not mutually of these events is	geted at the exclusive					
(ii)	P(health cla	im teenager) =	24		At least one correct conditional probability calculated.	Both conditional probabilities calculated and compared to reach		
	positive hea	at website is 1.18 lth claim if the voif the website is	vebsite is t	argeted at		conclusion that claim is not supported.		
	supported.	nore than twice a	-					
	candidate for	s is NOT relative risk. Do not penalise a for using RR in their answers, but this is not a fon! Accept statement made that 0.333 is not 2826 without calculation of ratio.						
(b)(i)	P(correct) =	$=\frac{18+38+8}{100}=\frac{6}{10}$	$\frac{4}{10} = 64\%$			Correct percentage calculated.		

	(ii)	In addition to having a low rate of correct predictions (64%), only 8 / 22 of the people predicted to purchase Brand C actually purchased Brand C, and 18 / 35 of the people predicted to purchase Brand A actually purchased Brand A. So, the model has a low rate of correct predictions, both overall, and for two of the three brands. Accept other valid reasoning. Note: Over half of the customers use Brand B (52 / 100), which the model has good prediction rate for (38 out of 43 predictions correct).	At least one correct additional proportion calculated as part of reasoning.	At least one correct additional proportion calculated and used appropriately to support reasoning about a potential issue with the model.	At least two correct additional proportions calculated and used appropriately to support reasoning about a potential issue with the model.
H	(iii)	$3 \times \frac{64}{100} \times \frac{63}{99} \times \frac{36}{98} = 0.4488$ Need to assume the people were randomly selected, or assume that the events that each person was incorrectly predicted the brand of toothpaste, are independent, to calculate joint probability (use multiplicative principle). Accept for Achievement: $3 \times 0.64 \times 0.64 \times 0.36$ (probability calculated using sampling with replacement).	Probability correctly calculated for only one arrangement OR probability calculated with replacement.	Correct probability calculated.	Correct probability calculated and explanation of need for independence provided.

NØ	N1	N2	A3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8
No response; no relevant evidence.	Reasonable start / attempt at one part of the question.	1 of u	2 of u	3 of u	1 of r	2 of r	1 of t	2 of t

Q	Expected (Coverage				Achievement (u)	Merit (r)	Excellence (t)
TWO (a)	0.1	way table	29 × 0.711	+ 0.871 × 0	.77 =	Correct probability calculated.		
(b)	N and B. Note we ar	nswers sug te dealing w not accept	rith a mode	dependence l / theoretic of the simil	P $(N \mid B)$ correctly calculated.	P (N B) correctly calculated and explanation of non-independence of events.		
(c)		Boys	Girls	Co-ed	Total	Two correct counts	Table or	Table or diagram completed or reasoning provided that correctly
	Private	1	1	16	18	/ proportions related to events	diagram completed or reasoning provided that correctly	
	State	44	52	235	331	either shown in a		
	Total	45	53	251	349	table or diagram or in working.		
	logically w	late may als corking thro iagrams), a	so approaching the inf	h the proble formation pi		determines the number of state girls' schools found.	determines the number of state girls' schools found AND correct probability calculated.	
(d)(i)	Expected counts for a random sample of 50 schools Year 9 to 13 – 33.8 schools Other – 16.2 schools The observed counts for the student are different from the expected counts. Accept reasoning that 67% of schools are Year 9 to 13, but 50% of sample have schools Year 9 to 13.					Expected counts calculated.	Expected counts calculated and compared to observed counts, with explanation that the differences appear to be large.	

(ii) For this simulation, random sampling produced samples of 50 schools with 25 or fewer Year 9 to 13 schools only 9 times out of 1000 trials.

This means the student's results would have been unlikely, given the student did randomly select the 50 secondary schools – only 0.9% of the time would you expect to see a count as low as 25 (or lower) just by random sampling from the 349 schools.

The teacher could conclude that something more than just chance produced the observed result of 25 Year 9 to 13 schools in a sample of 50 secondary schools, e.g. maybe the student didn't follow the instructions, maybe the student recorded the results incorrectly, etc.

Note: It should not be concluded that this student definitely made up her sample results, just that it is very unlikely to get the result that she did (getting 25 Year 9 to 13 schools from a random sample of 50). Additionally, given that the whole class did this activity, you could expect to see an "unlikely" result!

A correct description of the simulation proportion of 9 / 1000.

A correct interpretation of the simulation proportion of 9 / 1000 in terms of the unlikeliness of the observed result IF random sampling was used.

A correct interpretation of the simulation proportion of 9 / 1000 in terms of the unlikeliness of the observed result IF random sampling was used.

AND
A correct

conclusion.

NØ	N1	N2	A3	A4	M5	M6	E 7	E8
No response; no relevant evidence.	Reasonable start / attempt at one part of the question.	1 of u	2 of u	3 of u	1 of r	2 of r	1 of t	2 of t

Q	Expected Coverage	Achievement (u)	Merit (r)	Excellence (t)
THREE (a)	Percentage of world's population living in Asia = 59.7% Percentage of people living in Asia urban areas = 49% Percentage of people in the world that live in an urban area of Asia = 0.597 × 0.49 = 0.2925	Correct probability calculated.		
(b)(i)	The student in the question has possibly used the proportion of the South America population that live in urban areas (80%), and the proportion of the North America population that live in urban areas (81%), to claim "likeliness". These are conditional proportions based on what continent you live in, not based on whether you live in an urban or rural area. They have used the wrong conditional probabilities (confusion of the inverse). $P(\text{North America or South America} \mid \text{Urban}) = \frac{0.057 \times 0.8 + 0.078 \times 0.81}{0.5453} = 0.1995$	Relevant percentages for South America and North America used in response.	Relevant percentages for South America and North America used in response, and confusion of the inverse or similar is explained. OR Correct probability calculated for (b)(ii).	Relevant percentages for South America and North America used in response, and confusion of the inverse or similar is explained. AND Correct probability calculated for (b)(ii).
(c)(i)	Percentage of land area Europe = 6.8% Percentage of land area North America = 16.4% Combined land area elsewhere = 76.8% However, only 30.1% of Google Street View pictures are from Elsewhere. This shows that Google Street View may not have photos from locations everywhere in the world. Note: Google Street View photos are not just taken from "streets" anymore!	Relevant percentages used for either land areas OR game photo locations.	Relevant percentages used for land areas compare to percentages for game photo locations and conclusion made.	
(ii)	$P (NA ST) = P (NA \text{ and } ST) / P(ST)$ $= \frac{0.297 \times 0.13}{0.402 \times 0.12 + 0.297 \times 0.13 + 0.301 \times 0.36} = 0.198$	One relevant probability correctly calculated as part of response.	Correct probability calculated.	
(iii)	The true probability of a Google Street View photo being taken in Europe is unknown. The <i>model estimate</i> for this true probability is 0.402. This <i>model estimate</i> is likely not to be the same as the true probability, as the model estimate was based on a large sample of photos. The data collected by the user can be used to calculate an <i>experimental estimate</i> of 0.30, which is lower than the <i>model estimate</i> .	The experimental estimate is calculated and described as such.	At least two of the three types of probability are described in the response.	All three types of probability are described in the response.

NCEA Level 3 Mathematics and Statistics (91585) 2018 — page 6 of 6

NØ	N1	N2	A3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8
No response; no relevant evidence.	Reasonable start / attempt at one part of the question.	1 of u	2 of u	3 of u	1 of r	2 of r	1 of t	2 of t

Cut Scores

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
0 – 6	7 – 13	14 – 18	19 – 24	